

[Enzyme classification pdf](#)

I'm not a robot   
reCAPTCHA

**Verify**

1. Jeremy MB, John Lt, Lubert S: Biochemistry. 1988, W.H. Freeman, 444-445. Google Scholar<sup>2</sup>. Schmidt S: Metabolites: a hand that helps the evolution of the path?. Trend Biochem Sci. 2003, 28: 336-341. 10.1016 / S0968-0004 (03) 00 114-2.Article<sup>3</sup> PubMed<sup>4</sup> Google Scholar<sup>5</sup> 3.Shah I, Hunter L: predicting enzymatic function by sequence: a systematic evaluation. Proc International Confl Intelli Syst Mol Biol- Ismbinternational Conff Intelli Syst Mol Biol. 1997, 5: 276-283.CAS "Google Scholar<sup>6</sup>" 4.Webb CE: Enzymatic Nomenclature. 1992, San Diego: Academic Press Google Scholar<sup>7</sup> 5.Tiano W, Skolnick J: How much is the enzymatic function conserved as a function of identity Is it of the sequence in pairs?. J Mol Biol. 2003, 333: 863-882. 10.1016 / J.JMB.2003.08.057.03.08.057.Article<sup>8</sup> PubMed<sup>9</sup> Google Scholar<sup>10</sup> 6.ESPADALER J, ESWAR N, QUEROL S FX, Sali A, Marti-Renom M A, Oliva B: Prognosis of enzymatic function combining the sequence resemblance and protein interactions. BIOINFORMATICE BMC. 2008, 16: 249-Article-Google Scholar<sup>11</sup> 7.cheng Bym, Carbonell JG, Klein-Seetharaman J: Protein classification based on text document classification techniques. Proteins 2005, 58: 955-970. 10.1002 / Prot.20 373.Article<sup>12</sup> Cas<sup>13</sup> PubMed<sup>14</sup> Google Scholar<sup>15</sup> 8.MCCallum A, Nigam K: a comparison of event models for Naive Bayes text classification. Job learns text categories. 1998, 41-48. Google Scholar<sup>16</sup> 9.Mitchell TM: Machine Learning Boston. 1997, 4: 432- Google Scholar<sup>17</sup> 10.DUDA R, HART P, Cyker D: Model Classification. 2001, 680- Google Scholar<sup>18</sup> 11.Mohammed A, Guda C: computational approaches for automated classification of enzymatic sequences. J Proteomics Bioinforma. 2011, 04: 147-152.Article Cas<sup>19</sup> Google Scholar<sup>20</sup> 12.chou KC: Using the amphiphilic pseudo amino acid composition to predict classes of the enzymatic subfamily. Bioinformatics. 2005, 21: 10-19. 10.1093 / Bioinformatics / BTH466.Article<sup>21</sup> PubMed<sup>22</sup> Google Scholar<sup>23</sup> 13.Oct TD, Guimaraes ACR, Degrado WM, De Miranda AB: ANENPI: identification and annotation of analogous enzymes. BIOINFORMATICE BMC. 2008, 16: 544-Article - Google Scholar<sup>24</sup> 14.RÃ¶ttig M, Rausch C, Kohlbacher O: Combination of information on structure and sequence Allows automatic prediction of substrate specificities within enzyme families. Plos Comput Biol. 2010, 6: E1 000 636-10.1371 / Journal.pcbi.1 000 636.PCBIBI 000 636.PUBMED ARTICOLOR ARTICOLO PUBMEDMA GOOGLE Scholar<sup>25</sup> 15.AlMonaCID DE, BABBITT PC: towards the mechanical classification of enzymatic functions. Curriculum chem biol. 2011, 15: 435-442. 10.1016 / J.CBPA.2011.03.008.PUBMED CENTRAL Article<sup>26</sup> Cas<sup>27</sup> PubMed<sup>28</sup> Google Scholar<sup>29</sup> 16.Lu L, Qian Z, Cai YD, Li Y: ECS: an automatic enzymatic classifier based on the composition of the functional domain. Comput Biol Chem. 2007, 31: 226-32. 10.1016 / j.combiolchem.2007.03.008.Article<sup>30</sup> Cas<sup>31</sup> PubMed<sup>32</sup> Google Scholar<sup>33</sup> 17.Shen Hb, Cabbage Ezyped: a top-down approaches to predict functional classes and sublead. Biochem biofys biofys. 2007, 364: 53-9. 10.1016 / J.Brc.2007.09.098.artor<sup>34</sup> Cons<sup>35</sup> Pubmed<sup>36</sup> Scholar<sup>37</sup> U, Lavi Y, Corno D: Extracting enzyme data using specific peptides. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009, 10: 446-10.1186/1471-2105-10-446.PubMed Central<sup>38</sup> Article<sup>39</sup> PubMed<sup>40</sup> Google Scholar<sup>41</sup> 19.Nasibov E, Kandemir-Cavas C: Efficiency analysis of KNN and classifications based on the minimum distance in prediction of the enzymatic family. Comput Biol Chem. 2009, 33: 461-4. 10.1016/j.combiolchem.2009.09.002.Article<sup>42</sup> CAS<sup>43</sup> PubMed<sup>44</sup> Google Scholar<sup>45</sup> 20.Shi R, Hu X: Predict enzyme subclasses using vector machines with composite carriers. Protein Pept Lett. 2010, 17: 599-604. 10.2174/092 986 610 791 112 710Article<sup>46</sup> CAS<sup>47</sup> PubMed<sup>48</sup> Google Scholar<sup>49</sup> 21.Wang YC, Wang Y, Yang ZX, Deng NY: Supports the prediction of the enzymatic function vector machine with joint triad function and hierarchical context. BMC Syst Biol. 2011, 5 (Suppl 1): S6-10.1186/1752-0509-5-S1-S6.PubMed Central Article<sup>50</sup> CAS<sup>51</sup> PubMed<sup>52</sup> Google Scholar<sup>53</sup> 22.Borro LC, Oliveira SRM, Yamagishi MEB, Mancini AL, Jardine JG, Mazoni I, Santos EH Dos, Higa RH, Kuser PR, Neshich G: Predict the enzymatic class from the protein structure using the Bayesiana classification. Genet Mol Res. 2006, 5: 193-202.CAS<sup>54</sup> PubMed<sup>55</sup> Google Scholar<sup>56</sup> 23.Hung SS, Wasmuth J, Sanford C, Parkinson J: DETECT--a density estimation tool for enzymatic classification and its application at the falciparum Plasmodium. Bioinformatics. 2010, 26: 1690-8. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq266. Article<sup>57</sup> CAS<sup>58</sup> PubMed<sup>59</sup> Google Scholar<sup>60</sup> 24.Arakaki AK, Huang Y, Skolnick J: EFICAz2: inference of the enzyme function through a combined approach enhanced by machine learning. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009, 10: 107-10.1186/1471-2105-107.PubMed Central<sup>61</sup> Article<sup>62</sup> PubMed<sup>63</sup> Google Scholar<sup>64</sup> 25.Syed U, Yona G: Forecasting of the enzymatic function with interpretable models. Methods Mol Biol. 2009, 541: 373-420.Article<sup>65</sup> CAS<sup>66</sup> PubMed<sup>67</sup> Google Scholar<sup>68</sup> 26.Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, Mende DR, Li J, Xu J, Li S, Li D, Renault Jo, Wang B, Liang H, Zheng H, Xie Y, Tap J, Lepage P, Bertalan M, Batt JM A catalogue of the microbial gene of the human intestine established by the metagenomic order. Nature. 2010, 464: 59-65. 10.1038/nature08 821.PubMed Central Article<sup>69</sup> CAS<sup>70</sup> PubMed<sup>71</sup> Google Scholar<sup>72</sup> 27.BÃ¤ckhed F: Guest responses to the human microbiome. Nutr Rev. 2012, 70 (Suppl 1): S14-7.Article<sup>73</sup> PubMed<sup>74</sup> Google Scholar<sup>75</sup> 28.Cummings JH: Microbial digestion of complex carbohydrates in man. Proc Nutr Soc. 1984, 43: 35-44. 10.1079/PNS19 840 025.Article<sup>76</sup> CAS<sup>77</sup> PubMed<sup>78</sup> Google Scholar<sup>79</sup> 29.Hooper L V, Midtvedt T, Gordon JI: As the host microbial interactions form the nutritional environment of the mammals intestine. Rev Nutr. 2002, 22: 283-307. 10.1146/annurev.nutr.22.011 602.092 259.Article<sup>80</sup> PubMed<sup>81</sup> Google Scholar<sup>82</sup> 30.Kelly D, Campbell JI, King TP, Grant G, Jansson EA, Couttspettersson s, conway s: commensal anaerobic gut bacteria attenuates inflammation by regulating nuclear-cytoplasmatic shuttling of RelA. Nat Immunol. 2004, 5: 104-112. 10.1038/ni1018.Article<sup>83</sup> CAS<sup>84</sup> PubMed<sup>85</sup> Google Scholar<sup>86</sup> 31.Greenblum S, Turnbaugh PJ, Borenstein E: Metagenomic systems biology of human intest microbiome reveals topological changes associated with obesity and intestinal inflammatory disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012, 109: 594-9. 10.1073/pnas.1 116 053 109.PubMed Central Article<sup>87</sup> CAS<sup>88</sup> PubMed<sup>89</sup> Google Scholar<sup>90</sup> 32.Nicholson JK, Holmes E, Kinross J, Burcelin R, Gibson G, Jia W, Pettersson S: Metabolic interactions of the microbiota Host-gut. Science. 2012, 336: 1262-7. 10.1126/science.1 223 813. Article<sup>91</sup> CAS<sup>92</sup> PubMed<sup>93</sup> Google Scholar<sup>94</sup> 33.Lepage P, Leclerc MC, Joossens M, Mondot S, BlottiÃ're HM, Raes J, Ehrlich D, DorÃ© J: A metagenomic understanding in the microbiome of our intestinal. Gut 2013 62: 146-58. 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-301 805. Article<sup>95</sup> PubMed<sup>96</sup> Google Scholar<sup>97</sup> 34.Rish I: An empirical study of the naive Bayes classifier. IJCAI 2001 Empire Methods of Work Artif Intell. 2001, 3: 41-46. Google Scholar<sup>98</sup> 35.Cover T, Hart P: Model classification closer. IEEE Trans Inf Theory. 1967, 13: 21-27. Article<sup>99</sup> Google Scholar<sup>100</sup> 36.Cortes C, Vapnik V: Support networks-vector. Mach Learn, 1995, 20: 273-297. Google Scholar<sup>101</sup> 37.Breiman L: Random Forests. Mach Learn. 2001, 45: 5-32. 10.1023/A:1 010 933 404 324.Article<sup>102</sup> Google Scholar<sup>103</sup> 38.Bateman A, Birney E, Durbin R, Eddy SR, Finn RD, Sonnhammer EL: Pfam 3.1: 1313 multiple alignments and HMMs profile correspond to most proteins. Nucleic acids Res. 1999, 27: 260-262. 10.1093/nar/27.1.260.PubMed Central Article<sup>104</sup> CAS<sup>105</sup> PubMed<sup>106</sup> Google Scholar<sup>107</sup> 39.Wilson D, Madera M, Vogel C, Chothia C, Gough J: The data bank SUPERFAMILY in 2007: families and functions. Res. 2007, 35: D308-D313. 10.1093/nar/gkl910.PubMed Central Article<sup>108</sup> CAS<sup>109</sup> PubMed<sup>110</sup> Google Scholar<sup>111</sup> 40.Bairoch A, Bucher P, Hofmann K: The PROSITE database, its status in 1997. Res. 1997, 25: 217-221. 10.1093/nar/25.1.217.PubMed Central Article<sup>112</sup> CAS<sup>113</sup> PubMed<sup>114</sup> Google Scholar<sup>115</sup> 41.Iba W, Langley P: Induction of decision-making trees at a level. ML92 Proc Ninth Int Conf Mach Learn Aberdeen, Scotland, 1-3 July 1992. 1992, San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 233-240. Google Scholar<sup>116</sup> 42.Hall M, Frank E, Holmes G, Pfahringer B, Reutemann P, Witten IH: The WEKA data mining software: an update. SIGKDD Explor. 2009, 11: 10-18. 10.1145/1 656 274.1 656 278.Article<sup>117</sup> CAS<sup>118</sup> PubMed<sup>119</sup> Google Scholar<sup>120</sup> 43.Li W, Godzik A: Cd-hit: a fast program to group and compare large series of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2006, 22: 1658-1659. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158. Article<sup>121</sup> CAS<sup>122</sup> PubMed<sup>123</sup> Google Scholar<sup>124</sup> 44.Magrane M, Consortium U: UniProt Knowledgebase: an integrated protein data hub. Database (Oxford). 2011, 2011: bar009-Article<sup>125</sup> Google Scholar<sup>126</sup> 45.Bairoch A, Apweiler R: The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its TrEMBL supplement in 2000. Ris. 2000, 28:10.1093/nar/28.1.45.PubMed Central Article<sup>127</sup> CAS<sup>128</sup> PubMed<sup>129</sup> Google Scholar<sup>130</sup> 46.S Goto: KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. RES nucleic acids. 2000 28: 27-30. 10.1093 / nar / 28.1.27.PubMed Central Article<sup>131</sup> House PubMed<sup>132</sup> Google Scholar<sup>133</sup> 47.Abratt VR, SJ Reid: oxalate-degrading bacteria in the human gut as probiotics in the management of the disease of kidney stones. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2010 72: 63-87.Article<sup>134</sup> House PubMed<sup>135</sup> Google Scholar<sup>136</sup> 48.Blaut M, T Clavel: metabolic diversity of the intestinal microbiota: implications for health and disease. J Nutr. 2007, 137: 751S-5S.CAS<sup>137</sup> PubMed<sup>138</sup> Google Scholar<sup>139</sup> 49.Dumas ME, Barton RH, A Toye, Cloarec O, C Blancher, Rothwell A, Fearnside J, Tatoud R, V White, JC Lindon, Mitchell SC, Holmes E, MI McCarthy, Scott J, Gauguier D, JK Nicholson: metabolic profiles reveals a contribution of intestinal flora fatty liver phenotype in insulin-resistant mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006, 103: 12511-6. 10.1073 / pnas.0601056103.PubMed Central Article<sup>140</sup> House PubMed<sup>141</sup> Google Scholar<sup>142</sup> 50.Clayton TA, Baker D, Lindon JC, JR Everett, Nicholson JK: Pharmacometabolic identification of a significant host-microbiome metabolic interaction that affects the metabolism of human drugs . Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009, 106: 14728-14733. 10.1073 / pnas.0904489106.PubMed CENTRAL Article<sup>143</sup> House PubMed<sup>144</sup> Google Scholar<sup>145</sup> 51.Sousa T, R Paterson, Moore V, Carlsson A, B Abrahamsson, Basit AW: The gastrointestinal microflora as the site for the biotransformation of drugs. Int J Pharm. 2008, 1-25. Google Scholar<sup>146</sup> 52.Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA, M Poggi, Knauf C, D Bastelica, Neyrinck AM, Fava M, KM Tuohy, Chabo C, Waget A, Delma<sup>147</sup> and E, B cousin, Sulpice T, Chamontin B, Ferria ARES J, Tanti JF, Gibson GR, Casteilla L, Delzenne NM, Alessi MC, Burcelin R: Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance. Diabetes. 2007, 56: 1761-1772. 10.2337 / db06-1491.Article<sup>148</sup> House PubMed<sup>149</sup> Google Scholar<sup>150</sup> 53.Turnbaugh PJ, Henrissat B, Gordon JI: Viewing human microbiome through D glasses: integrating structural and functional studies such as to better define the properties of carbohydrate active enzymes myriad . Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun. 2010, 66 (Pt 10): 1261-1264.PubMed Central Article<sup>151</sup> House PubMed<sup>152</sup> Google Scholar<sup>153</sup> 54.Devaraj S, P Hemarajata, Versalovic J: The human gut microbiome and metabolism of the body: implications for obesity and diabetes. Clin Chem. , 2013, 59: 617-28. 10.1373 / clinchem.2012.187617.PubMed Central Article<sup>154</sup> House PubMed<sup>155</sup> Google Scholar<sup>156</sup> 55.Cantarel BL, V Lombardo, Henrissat B: the use of complex carbohydrates from healthy human microbiome. Plos One. 2012, 7: e28742-10.1371 / journal.pone.0028742.PubMed Central Article<sup>157</sup> House PubMed<sup>158</sup> Google Scholar<sup>159</sup> 56.R Development Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found Comput Stat Vienna in Austria. 2013, ISBN 3-900051-07-0 {} Google Scholar<sup>160</sup> 57.Sanderson IR, P Boulton, The Menzies, W-SJ: Improvement of abnormal lactulose / rhamnose permeability Crohn disease Active small s 's' from an elementary diet. Intestine. 1987, 28 (February): 1073-1076.Pubmed Central Article, Cas<sup>161</sup> PubMed<sup>162</sup> Google Scholar<sup>163</sup> 58.Desai D, Faubion WA, Sandborn WJ: Review Article: Biological activity markers in inflammatory bowel disease. Feed the drug. 2007, 25: 247-255.Article<sup>164</sup> Cas<sup>165</sup> PubMed<sup>166</sup> Google Scholar<sup>167</sup> 59.Bairoch A: The Enzyme Database in 2000. Nucleic acids RES. 2000, 28: 304-305. 10.1093 / NAR / 28.1.304.PUBMED HOTTOR Article Case PubMed<sup>168</sup> Google Scholar<sup>169</sup> 60.johnson Ls, Eddy SR, Portugal E: Hidden Markov Model Speed à BIOINFORMATICE BMC. 2010, 11: 431-10.1186 / 1471-2105-11-1186 / 1471-2105-11-431.PUBMED Central Article PubMed<sup>170</sup> Google Scholar<sup>171</sup> 61.de Castro E, Sigrist CJA, Gattiker A, Buijard V, Langendijk-Ginevaux PS, Gasteiger E, Bairoch A, Hulo N: ScanPROSITE: Detection of strong signature matches and functional and structural residues associated with the prorule in proteins. Nucleic acids res. 2006, 34: W362-W365. 10.1093 / NAR / GKL124.PUBMED Central Article PubMed<sup>172</sup> Google Scholar<sup>173</sup> Page 2 Function Set PFAM POSSITE (PS) Superfamily (SF) à (PFAM, PS, SF) Database 12 273 1308 1962 15 543 Enzymes Only 859 133 111 1103 Non enzymatic Only 7658 525 1013 9196 \* Common characteristics 2514 647 781 3942 Total unique characteristics 11 031 1305 1905 14 241 \* Common between enzymatic and non-enzymatic sequences