

I'm not a robot



[illegible]

earlier measurements of inlet vapor quality as represented in Eq. (4). This is while the approach is very accurate and simple in execution.Taking advantage of the After-Heater collected after the test section, this technique does not interfere with heat transfer data collected from the test section and does not pose the issues of circumferential and axial heat conduction caused by electrical heating for stratified and annular flow patterns within the test section.The approach II described in Section 4.2 is more expensive than the earlier techniques presented. The method is also not as simple as the earlier techniques in implementation. Using this approach, there is still accumulated error in measurement of outlet vapor quality arisen from the earlier measurement of inlet vapor quality, according to Eq. (5).Moreover, the main drawback is that the methodology is likely to pose a higher overall uncertainty in measuring the local vapor qualities as compared to the earlier techniques described in Sections 3 and 4.1 since there will be higher number of points to be measured for temperature, pressure, and mass flow rate as indicated in Eq. (5). In this technique, five more precision instruments are required to be in service in order to measure flow rate of the hot-side fluid (one flow sensor), pressures (two pressure transducers), and temperatures (two thermocouple probes) at the inlet and outlet of the shell side of heat exchanger.The major drawback of the in-situ measurements is that the techniques and/or instruments introduced to date pose a low accuracy to measure local density of a two-phase flow, which ultimately makes the overall uncertainty for vapor quality measurements undesirable. In addition, very accurate and expensive pressure transducers and/or expensive advanced Coriolis meters are required to be procured to implement this technique properly. Advertisement Vapor quality plays a key role in flow boiling heat transfer behavior and can noticeably affect the local flow boiling heat transfer coefficient. To accurately investigate the effect of vapor quality on flow boiling behavior, accurate measurement of local vapor quality is critical.In the present study, various experimental techniques were presented to measure and control vapor quality for flow boiling tests and were classified based on the type of thermal boundary conditions induced on the test tube wall. Moreover, in-situ measurements and techniques were also investigated to measure local density of two-phase flow and subsequently local vapor quality regardless of the governing thermal boundary conditions.To provide a deeper insight to select an appropriate technique depending on researchers' choices, the experimental techniques were also compared based on their level of accuracy in measurement, affordability, and simplicity in implementation through addressing their potential weaknesses and strengths. Advertisement We would like to acknowledge the financial support from NASA MUREP Institutional Research Opportunity Grant under Cooperative Agreement #80NSSC19M0196, National Science Foundation (NSF) for supporting this work via grant (HRD-1601156), and Department of Defense under contract: W911NF-20-1-0274. I. Bergman T.A., Levine A.S., Incropera F.P., Dewitt D.P., Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 7th Edition, 2011, ISBN 13 978-0470-50197-9.2. Kabir M.M., Lee S., Investigation of the Effects of Simultaneous Internal Flow Boiling and External Condensation on the Heat Transfer Performance, Proceedings of ASME 2019 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, IMECE2019-10697, V008T09A004, Nov. 2019, Salt Lake City, Utah.3. Hardik BK, Prabhu SV. Boiling pressure drop and local heat transfer distribution of water in horizontal straight tubes at low pressure. Int. J. Thermal Sciences. 2016;110:65-824. Yan J, Bi Q, Liu Z, Zhu G, Cai L. Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer of water in a circular tube under high heat fluxes and high mass fluxes. Fusion Engineering and Design. 2015;100:406-4185. Yan J, Bi Q, Cai L, Zhu G, Yuan Q. Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer of water in circular tubes with twisted-tape inserts under high heat fluxes. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2015;68:11-216. Lu Q, Chen D, Li C, He X. Experimental investigation on flow boiling heat transfer in conventional and mini vertical channels. Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 2017;107:225-2437. Trinh V, Xu J. An Experimental Study on Flow and Heat Transfer Characteristics of Ethanol/ Polyalphaolefin Nanoemulsion Flowing Through Circular Minichannels. Nanoscale Research Letters. 2017;12:216. DOI: 10.1186/s11671-017-1984-18. Poudel N., Acar M., Tran T., Xu J., An Experimental and Numerical Study of Convective Boiling of Nanoemulsion Inside Mini-Channels Heat Exchanger. Proceedings of ASME 2016 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, Phoenix, Arizona. Nov. 11-17, 2016, V008T10A079.9. Balasubramanian K, Jagirdar M, Lee PS, Teo CJ, Chou SK. Experimental investigation of flow boiling heat transfer and instabilities in straight microchannels. Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 2013;66:655-67110. Qu W, Siu-Ho A. Experimental study of saturated flow boiling heat transfer in an array of staggered micro-pin-fins. Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 2009;52:1853-186311. Alam T, Lee PS, Yap CR, Jin L. Experimental investigation of local flow boiling heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics in microgap channel. Int. J. Multiphase Flow. 2012;42:164-17412. Gedupudi S, Zu YQ, Karayiannis TG, Kenning DBR, Yan YY. Confined bubble growth during flow boiling in a mini/micro-channel of rectangular cross section Part I: Experiments and 1-D modelling. Int. J. Therm. Sci. 2011;50:250-26613. Santini L, Cioncolini A, Butel MT, Ricotti ME. Flow boiling heat transfer in a helically coiled steam generator for nuclear power applications. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;92:91-9914. Sun ZC, Ma X, Ma LX, Li W, Kukulka DJ. Flow Boiling Heat Transfer Characteristics in Horizontal, Three-Dimensional Enhanced Tubes. Energies. 2019;12:927. DOI: 10.3390/en1205092715. Jagirdar M., Lee P.S., Methodology for More Accurate Assessment of Heat Loss in Microchannel Flow Boiling, IEEE 16th Electronics Packaging Technology Conference, 2014, Singapore, 630-634.16. Boltenko EA. Determination of the Density and Flow Rate of the Two-Phase Mixture under Steady and Emergency Conditions. Thermal Engineering. 2013;60(3):195-20117. Turney G.E., Snyder R.W., Measurement of Liquid and Two-Phase Hydrogen Densities with a Capacitance Density Meter. NASA Technical Notes, NASA TN D-5015, April 1969, Washington D.C.18. Reizner JR. Batch accuracy: the good, bad and ugly of Coriolis. March: InTech Magazine; 200519. Hemp J., Yeung H., Coriolis Meter in Two Phase Conditions, IEE Seminar on Advanced Coriolis Mass Flow Metering, 2003, Ref No.03/10224, Oxford.20. Alkhutov NS et al. Measurements of the Density of the Two-Phase Mixture in Steady-State and Transient Regimes. Teploenerge tika. 2002;9:67-7121. Fedorov L.F., Rassokhin N.G., Processes of Steam Generation at Nuclear Power Plants, Ener_goatomizdat, 1985, Moscow, in Russian.22. Thome J.R., The Heat Transfer Engineering Data Book III, PP PUBLICO Publications, 2016, ISBN-13: 9783934736375. Submitted: 27 July 2020 Reviewed: 13 October 2020 Published: 16 November 2020 © 2020 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. See also: Properties of SteamAs seen from the phase diagram of water, in the two-phase regions (e.g., on the border of vapor/liquid phases), specifying temperature alone will set the pressure, and specifying pressure will set the temperature. But these parameters will not define the volume and enthalpy because we will need to know the relative proportion of the two phases present.The mass fraction of the vapor in a two-phase liquid-vapor region is called the vapor quality (or dryness fraction), x, and it is given by the following formula:The value of the quality ranges from zero to unity. Although defined as a ratio, the quality is frequently given as a percentage. From this point of view, we distinguish between three basic types of steam. It must be added, at x=0, we are talking about the saturated liquid state (single-phase).Wet SteamDry SteamSuperheated SteamThis classification of steam has its limitation. Consider the system's behavior which is heated at a pressure that is higher than the critical pressure. In this case, there would be no change in phase from liquid to steam. In all states, there would be only one phase. Vaporization and condensation can occur only when the pressure is less than the critical pressure. The terms liquid and vapor tend to lose their significance.See also: Saturation.See also: Throttling of Steam