

Click to verify



























Two strangers now have become good friends and really care for each other in the world of the internet; they don't have anybody else who asks them about their mental health or how they are doing in general. Lets say those two friend are Steve and Laila Steve: Hey, you didn't text me back sooner; so I am just checking in on you. Laila : Yes, now I'm okay. Thank you. When one wants to make sure someone is doing okay. Do we say " check in on you" , "check up on you" or " check on you" I have always used "check in on you", but my native friend used "check on you" today, and I'm a little confused if my version is correct. I also have this feeling that using "up" won't be wrong Waiting for answers from natives. Thank you : ) To check [something/someone] = to verify [something/someone] especially by examination; to enquire about [something/someone] To check in (figurative, from the idea of checking into an hotel.) = to arrive and register one's presence. To check up = to check(see above) +up(adverb) = up is chiefly emphatic and implies fully, completely. I have always used "check in on you", That's unfortunate - it is wrong. "check up on you" It's meaning depends on context = (i) verify that you are OK. (ii) investigate in order to confirm what you said or did. In fact it would be better to say "I'm just checking to see if you're OK." "I'm just checking in to see if you're OK." Last edited: May 24, 2023 In BE, that would not work. I disagree - I've definitely heard check in on used in BE in situations when someone is casually checking if someone is OK. E.g. A boss popping her head round the door of her team and saying: I'm just checking in on you all - any problems? Perhaps it's not as common as I'm just checking if you're all OK, but it's definitely not an uncommon construction, often used interchangeably with the (truly dreadful) I'm just touching base... Check up on carries definite negative connotations of surveillance and unwelcome interference... AE, not BE. I am just checking in on you. I am just checking up on you. I am just checking on you. I am just checking on you. The phrase "checking in" is used for hotels. It is also used (along with "with") for occasional contacts. For example, mother asks Susan to telephone her sometime during the evening. Here is Susan's phone call: I am just checking in with you. Or a boss might stop by to see if anyone on her team has questions or problems: A boss popping her head round the door of her team and saying: I'm just checking in with you all - any problems? Hi everyone is there a difference between check this video out and check out this video. The reason I ask this question is that I know if you go to a library and say I want to "check the book out" means you want to borrow the book. But if you say I want to check out the book that means you just want to look at book. Both are potentially ambiguous to my mind. You would have to make it clear what you meant. In my world it would not be asking anybody in the library about 'checking out' a book/video to see if it was suitable. That's because my public lending library is fully digitalised, if that is the right term. I mean when I want to 'take out' a book I use the 'Check Out', which is fully automatic, as is the 'Return', if you want. Otherwise there's the 'Book Drop'. One could use the library for years without ever talking to a librarian. Hermione Basically what I ment was that go to see the vedio I provided a link to let other people see the vedio. And I said check this vedio out through ... And now I want to know what would have been different If I had said check out this vedio through... Sorry for the confusion. I think I see what you mean. If you simply mean have a look at it because it is well worth it, a really good video, then either 'check out the video/it' or 'check it/the video out' is fine. But now we seem to have check through as well, 'check out through'. As far as I know, nobody says 'check out through', but 'check through' is certainly possible. To me, it means to look over something carefully to make sure it is all correct, to make sure there is nothing wrong with it. To take an immediate example, I am about to do my online grocery order. I need to very carefully check through it to make sure I have not ordered more than I need and to make quite sure my order has been accurately recorded. I might casually say I need to check it out or check out the order, but not I need to check it out through. I think check it through better expresses the idea of looking carefully to make sure everything is OK. I need to check it through for accuracy/ bad language/ violence/ suitability/ and so on. I need to check through it for accuracy/ bad language/ violence/suitability/ and so on. (We can't say we need to check out it through for ..... ) I need to check out this video for accuracy/ bad language/ violence/suitability/ and so on. Hermione Last edited: Nov 24, 2011 I meant check out this vedio through "... refers to a link, website. This doesn't make sense? Not really. (Also note that it's video, not vedio.) What I typically see is "check out this video here: (link)". It's common to refer to links as if they were locations, not as if they were conduits or channels of some kind. Thanks for all your help! And also thanks for pointing out the misspelled word! "I want to check the book out" also means something like "I want to make sure the book is suitable (for my daughter/mother/friend etc)". A way to avoid any potential ambiguity (at the library), and indeed what we say in BE, is to say 'I want to take this book out'. This could be extended to the video question. Hm, but "take out" doesn't seem to be an analogous to "check out" (to see if something is suitable) because it means to take the book from the library for reading it (if we are speaking about visiting library). My doubts are based on the one English reference's entry that I quoted below. So, the question seems to remain open. How would you tell to the librarian if you just wanted to take a look at the book to see if it's worth it to borrow it from the library. Thank you! TAKE OUT - phrasal verb - meanings and examples Woodward English (transitive) When you take something (a library book, a loan) for temporary use from a formal establishment. You're right. It's not. That's why I suggested it. This is what I heard in an English lesson when the teacher was explaining one of the meanings of "check out": "You go to the library, you find the book you want or you need, you go to the librarian, you give your card, she takes your name, puts a due date on the book, and you check it out". So if "take out a book" as said above is "to borrow a book" isn't it the same as "go to librarian and get the book checked out"? I see the same result - you will walk out of a library with a book in your hands. Yes, but 'check out' also has another meaning - see my post #2 - which is why I suggested 'take out' (in BE at least) to avoid any ambiguity. This is what I heard in an English lesson when the teacher was explaining one of the meanings of "check out": "You go to the library, you find the book you want or you need, you go to the librarian, you give your card, she takes your name, puts a due date on the book, and you check it out". I have a feeling this is an AE usage. Its standard U.S. usage to check out books at the library. As always, context rules. - I went to the library and checked out six books on American history to help me with my project. You check out books at the checkout counter. Also checkout desk. Sometimes the front desk. We also use take out. (But not takeout counter.) How would you tell to the librarian if you just wanted to take a look at the book to see if it's worth it to borrow it from the library. The way libraries work here, all the books are on the shelves and you can just go look at them yourself. If you want it, you take it up to the counter. I suppose there might a few very special books they keep behind the counter to protect them. In that case, you could ask to "have a look" at the book you wanted to see. Soutenez CheckSix pour continsage aventure. Dernier message Re: 70 ans, l'honneur du bilan ... par Emikoussi Consulter le dernier message dim. juin 29, 2025 8:12 pm Dernier message Re: Le bureau des pleurs et d... par Vico Consulter le dernier message jeu. juin 26, 2025 6:01 pm Dernier message Re: Présentatio par Mad Fly Consulter le dernier message dim. juin 29, 2025 11:49 am Dernier message Re: Fête adrienne, Cholet. Fo... par bidzi Consulter le dernier message jeu. juin 19, 2025 7:27 pm Dernier message Re: Germany - Attaque de la q... par C6 Hellcat Consulter le dernier message jeu. juin 19, 2025 8:58 pm Dernier message Re: 06th MHR recrute (Hélicops... par Daniel Consulter le dernier message sam. juin 28, 2025 8:44 pm Dernier message Re: Installation mod Rafale F... par grim\_reaper68570 Consulter le dernier message sam. juin 28, 2025 10:43 pm Dernier message Re: DCS Kola Map par ORBX par phoenix Consulter le dernier message mer. juin 18, 2025 9:10 pm DCS : salles réacteurs Salle dédiée aux modules "réacteurs" Sujets : 3326, Messages : 54420 Dernier message : Re: Livrés F4 par grim\_reaper68570, sam. juin 28, 2025 1:59 pm Dernier message Re: Livrés F4 par grim\_reaper68570 Consulter le dernier message Re: Dernies dédiée au... par MirabelleBenou Consulter le dernier message mar. juin 24, 2025 10:37 pm Dernier message Re: F4U-1D Corsair en courte ... par grim\_reaper68570 Consulter le dernier message dim. juin 29, 2025 8:01 pm IL-2 Great Battles (Stalingrad, Moscow, Kuban...) Salle dédiée à la série des Battle of : Stalingrad (BOS) Moscou (BOM), Kuban (BOK) Sujets : 2454, Messages : 48524 Dernier message : Re: CAMPAGNE coop Pat Wilson ... par tribal, dim. juin 29, 2025 10:27 pm Dernier message Re: CAMPAGNE coop Pat Wilson ... par tribal Consulter le dernier message dim. juin 29, 2025 10:27 pm Dernier message FTC Event ce soir à 20h00 sur... par OBT-Polak Consulter le dernier message dim. juin 22, 2025 2:29 pm Dernier message Re: Problème d'attribution d'... par 343KKT Kintaro Consulter le dernier message lun. mai 19, 2025 9:16 am Rise of Flight Sujets : 2425, Messages : 44819 Dernier message : Re: Wings over Flanders Fields par actionjoe, sam. janv. 04, 2025 5:25 pm Dernier message Re: Wings over Flanders Fields par actionjoe Consulter le dernier message sam. janv. 04, 2025 5:25 pm Dernier message Re: Reverse theaux Simul... par Mongoose Consulter le dernier message ven. juin 25, 2025 10:37 pm Dernier message Re: Combi Pilot - WW2 Pacifier par Jallie Consulter le dernier message ven. juin 20, 2025 12:44 pm Dernier message Re: [Mod amA23/TXOH] AH-64D... par gO oSe Consulter le dernier message jeu. mai 01, 2025 1:09 pm Dernier message Re: Chasseurs WWII, les coule... par flavonide Consulter le dernier message sam. mai 15, 2021 4:32 pm Dernier message Re: Hitles pour FalconAllie... par frodingo Consulter le dernier message dim. juin 29, 2025 7:03 pm Lockon - FC2 (Eagle Dynamics) Sujets : 10380, Messages : 148907 Dernier message : Re: LockOn: Flaming Cliffs 1... par Azrayen, lun. nov. 11, 2024 6:30 pm Dernier message Re: LockOn: Flaming Cliffs 1... par Azrayen Consulter le dernier message Re: Jane's F/A-18 et W101 CA... par kekelekeou Consulter le dernier message ven. mai 06, 2022 9:40 am Strike Fighter 2, SFP-1, Wings over Viet Nam, Europe et Israël Strike Fighter 2, SFP-1, Wings over Viet Nam, Europe et Israël Sujets : 3540, Messages : 41285 Dernier message : Re: Tuto pour faire tourner c... par cerealk, ven. août 12, 2022 9:39 am Dernier message Re: Tuto pour faire tourner c... par cerealk Consulter le dernier message ven. août 12, 2022 9:39 am Dernier message patch 2.13 bob 2 par Lukin Consulter le dernier message lun. déc. 26, 2016 10:44 pm Dernier message Re: Est-ce une simulation? par Lukin Consulter le dernier message mar. déc. 20, 2016 4:02 am Au total, il y a 2 utilisateurs en ligne : 2 inscrits et 0 invisible (selon le nombre d'utilisateurs actifs des 5 dernières minutes)Le nombre maximal d'utilisateurs en ligne simultanément a été de 2126 le lun. mars 01, 2021 7:55 am 1914489 messages : 126872 sujets : 23049 membres : Notre membre le plus récent est Pirlote Hi, When you want to text/email etc. someone and make sure someone is doing OK, which is better to say "I just wanted to check up on you." or "I just wanted to check on you."? I found some other websites that were discussing it, but there were different opinions among native speakers. Someone was saying if you use "up" then it sounds more like a boss trying to "investigate" what you're doing while you're absent so he/she wouldn't use it to your family or friends. Someone else was saying if you use "up" it sounds actually more friendly. I'm a bit confused. I want to text someone who is a "friend" but much older than me and she took care of me before. So I don't want to come across as "superior" like. I know there are other expressions or ways to convey the same meaning, but unless these expressions are not really suited to my purpose here, I want to focus on the differences between the two. Thank you! I agree that "check up on you" in many contexts can mean "investigate." On the other hand, between people who are familiar with it and are mutually friendly it would be okay. "Check on you" without "up" sounds odd to me, but won't say it's wrong. I might say "I just wanted to see if you are okay/see how you are doing" if I wanted to avoid a possible understanding. I want to text someone who is a "friend" but much older than me and she took care of me before. So I don't want to come across as "superior" like. In that case, you should say, "I just want to check if you are OK," or something similar. You are using "check" to mean "find out." You should not use check up or check on [you] or check up on [you]. Thank you both for your replies! Yes Paul, I'll use just "check" this time Can we here delete "up"? "The engineer gave our house a through, comprehensive check-up before my friend bought it." A check-up differs from a check, so, "No, you can't." A "check-up" is a thorough or complete check. (Note the meaning of the adverb "up".) You're now talking about nouns rather than verbs. By far the most common use of check-up in (the UK, and probably generally) is in the sense of a medical - that is, a routine medical, or dental, examination. Check, on the other hand, can be used to mean any kind of examination, usually one for the purposes of verification (i.e. making sure that something is as it should be). You're now talking about nouns rather than verbs. By far the most common use of check-up in (the UK, and probably generally) is in the sense of a medical - that is, a routine medical, or dental, examination. Check, on the other hand, can be used to mean any kind of examination, usually one for the purposes of verification (i.e. making sure that something is as it should be). Thank you. Just a question, Is it grammatically correct to say "I just wanted to check up if everything is ok from your side"? Preposition "from" sounds more here. Thanks! What's the situation in which you want to say this? That could make a difference. I have been trying to change the password for my account for the last 10 days, but unfortunately with no success. Want to check up if everything is ok from your side there" This is part of communication between me and my provider. Just wonder if this is grammatically correct way to say it? If I was using that structure, I'd prefer "I wanted to check if everything is okay at your side". (No "up" needed after "check".) "From" could be used in a different context - if you were asking of the other person was agreeable to something. If I was using that structure, I'd prefer "I wanted to check if everything is okay at your end". (No "up" needed after "check".) "From" could be used in a different context - if you were asking of the other person was agreeable to something. Why do you think that no "up" would be needed after check? Isn't 'check up' the phrase for...checking up something? I can't recall a proper synonym right now for 'check up'. Pertaining to "from" (I just start using 'pertain') - what did you have in mind? Can you give me an example? You might say for example - I wanted to ask if everything is all right from your side. This would mean that you're asking "Are you okay with/agreeable to everything that's been suggested or discussed?" Also see Paul's post above. But when you ask "Is everything okay at your side?", you're asking if the other person has any problems, whether things are going along fine. I think this is what you meant in your OP. (It looks like Paul and I might be interpreting your OP a little differently.) "I just wanted to check up if everything is ok from your side?" I agree it should be "check", not "check up". You "check up on" things. There is no "on" so "up" does not belong. "from" also does not fit. "on your side" or "at your end" would fit. Thank you everyone for very detailed explanations. Frankly speaking, I was thinking about using either "on your side" or "from", but I think I got it now. I haven't come across this phrase "at you end", but it makes sense. Hello everyone, When you finish your meal at a restaurant, do you ask the waiter for the ticket, the bill, or the check? If I am not mistaken, check is not especially common in British English. Bill seems to be the most widely used word, but I am curious about the use of ticket. Where I live, it is a common substitute for bill, except in expensive restaurants, where it would sound jarringly informal. If you use more than one of the words that I have listed, do you find that their choice of word is influenced by the formality of the restaurant, or do you use them interchangeably? I use both "bill" &"check". When I asked for the "check please" in Prague funny waiter responded "Yes, I'm czech! How can I help you? ) In Canada, I usually ask for the cheque or bill interchangeably. Recently I've been travelling in the southern states (Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Nebraska) and all the servers have been calling it a ticket, which I'd never heard before in this context, and what had me search this. Did you pay at the table at these places or did you pay at the front? Hi all, I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. Hi all, I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. In your sample sentence, I believe that "to check" is the verb, and "for the sign" is an adverbial phrase, telling where/what to check. Therefore, the "for" doesn't go with "check". A similar situation may be found in the following: I am going to tell on you! "Am going" is the verb, not "am going to". Hope this helps. Hi all, I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. I think you are correct. We use to check for when you are looking for something in particular, whereas we use to check when you are correcting, validating or approving something. Agreed. I think your sentence should be "check the sign", not "check for the sign". "to check" - to correct, also to make a check mark "to check for" - to verify the existence ofPlease check for my card; I mailed it two days ago, (== look for it, see if the card has arrived yet) Please check my card; it may contain spelling mistakes. (== review it, examine it, correct it) Check the sign of the answer... (== see what the sign is, determine whether the answer is positive or negative) Check for the sign of the answer... (== doesn't make sense... it would mean "make sure that the answer has a sign") Thanks guys for your kind help. 'Write me' is American. In British English we say 'write to me'. I would definitely say: 'Write me when you get the chance'. But I do think "to" is left out, so if I were writing more formally, I think I'd add "to". I've never thought about it before, but "write me" seems sloppy. I'd be interested to know if anyone can find something more "official". Added to this thread. Nat, Moderator In the following sentence, which one is correct? Can you please check for my grammatical mistakes in my essay? Can you please check my grammatical mistakes in my essay? Last edited by a moderator: Jun 29, 2022 I would use one of the following: Can you please check for grammatical mistakes in my essay? Can you please check my essay for grammatical mistakes? I would use one of the following: Can you please check for grammatical mistakes in my essay? Can you please check my essay for grammatical mistakes? What is the difference between check and check for? What is the difference between check and check for? "Check for" means find or identify, which is what you want (regardless of where the word "for" appears). "Check" is vaguer; it just means look at, with what your looking for left unsaid. Hi all, I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. Gwynne VIETNAMESE I think you are correct. We use to check for when you are looking for something in particular, whereas we use to check when you are correcting, validating or approving something. E.g. Will you check forWill you check for mistakes in my Spanish essay? Will you check my Spanish essay and tell me if there are any mistakes? Does this help? I would definitely say: "Write me when you get the chance". But I do think "to" is left out, so if I were writing more formally, I think I'd add "to". I've never thought about it before, but "write me" seems sloppy. I'd be interested to know if anyone can find something more "official". Gwynon Asked about "check for" as in he gave her a check for. "... In this context, it means the check was a form of payment. The check is from a bank... it is written for a monetary amount. Top portion has writer's information ( Full name sometimes address) Next on the line that indicates " pay to the order of" owner of the check will write in the receivers name. First name and Surname.small box to the right , there you Wright numbers indicating How many dollars it ( the check) is for. i.e. (\$50.00) the long line just before the small box is to write out the amount in words i.e. (Fifty dollars and no cents) I don't think the earlier discussion has been about what I would write as cheques (BrE spelling). And what about: Could you check it (e.g. my assignment) for mistakes? Can't we use the pronoun 'it' after 'check'? Could you check it (e.g. my assignment) for mistakes? Can't we use the pronoun 'it' after 'check'? You can. As long as your listener knows what a pronoun like it or this refers to, you can use it after the verb check. Last edited: Mar 11, 2025 To check (something) for (something) simply means to look for (something) there. So you can check the kitchen for mice, or check the beach for broken glass, etc. Or, if you know where you are to do the looking, someone might just say "Could you check for mice?" Can anyone help me with the usage of "if" and "that" in combination with the verb "check". The context of my inquiry is instructions. Examples: - Press the call button and check if/that the elevator car does not move (it is expected that it does not move). - Check if/that the attendant service is enabled (we don't know if it is enabled or not!). What is correct or, if both possibilities are correct, what is preferred? Could it be that the usage of "if" or "that" is related to whether we expect a particular outcome of the check? Can anyone help me with the usage of "if" and "that" in combination with the verb "check". The context of my inquiry is instructions. Examples: - Press the call button and check if/that the elevator car does not move (it is expected that it does not move). - Check if/that the attendant service is enabled (we don't know if it is enabled or not!). What is correct or, if both possibilities are correct, what is preferred? Could it be that the usage of "if" or "that" is related to whether we expect a particular outcome of the check? Yes, I'd say that in the first example, you should use 'check that'; to me this carries the meaning 'confirm that' the elevator does not move (the expected result). Use 'if' in the second case where no particular outcome is expected. Both are acceptable and I cannot discern any difference in meaning in this example. 百度知道>提示信息 知道宝贝找不到问题了>