

Click to verify









































Antibacterial, and antimicrobial. They are applied to cotton, wool, polyester and nylon fibres. The low molecular weight results in the inhibition of mRNA, preventing protein synthesis, and the high molecular weight causes leakage of intracellular substances or blocks the transport of essential solutes. Poly (Hexamethylene Biguanide)-based agents are polycationic biguanide repeat units separated by aliphatic chains. They interact with membrane phospholipids, resulting in disturbance of the sole fatal leakage of cytoplasmic materials. They are applied to cotton, nylon, and polyester fibres. N-halamines: They are heterocyclic organic compounds. N-halamines prevent the cell enzymatic and metabolic processes, causing the consequent microorganism destruction. They are applied in cotton, nylon, polyester and wool fibres and are active against a wide range of pathogens. Many plant-based compounds with a wide range of antimicrobial activity spectrum have been identified and are commercially available. Table 3 shows the wide range of commercially available antimicrobial agents on the market. Representation of commercially available antimicrobial agents on the market [72]. Product Name Company Description Agion® Sciescent, Beverly, MA, USA Silver and zeolite-based additive AlphaSan® Milliken Chemical, Spartanburg, SC, USA Silver-based additive BioGaurd® AEGi Microbe Shield, Huntersville, NC, USA Finishing agent based on 3-trimethoxysilylpropyldimethyloctadecylammonium chloride Biozac ZS Zschimmer & Schwarz Mohsdorf GmbH, Burgstadt, Germany PHMB-based finishing agent Cosmocol CQ™ Lonza, Basel, Germany Polyaminopropyl biguanide-based additive Eosy® Unilitka, Osaka, Japan Finishing agent based on chitosan Irgaurod® 1000 BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany Finishing agent based on triclosan Irgasan Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA Finishing agent based on triclosan Microban® Microban International, Huntersville, NC, USA Triclosan-based agent Reputex™ Lonza, Basel, Germany PHMB-based finishing agent Sanigard KC L. N. Chemical Industries, Maharashtra, India Finishing agent belonging to the QAC group Saniguard Nano-ZN L. N. Chemical Industries, Maharashtra, India Finishing solution based on aqueous nano-dispersion of zinc oxide Sanitized® SANITIZED AG, Burdorf, Germany Finishing agent based on 3-trimethoxysilylpropyldimethyloctadecylammonium chloride Silpure® Thomson Research Associates, Toronto, ON, Canada Silver particles-based finishing agent Silvadur™ The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI, USA Interpenetrating polymer network with silver ions SmartSilver® Nanohorizon Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA Silver nanoparticles-based agent Silverion 2400 Pure Bioscience, Inc., El Cajon, CA, USA Stabilised silver complex-based agent There are various extraction methods, e.g., solvent extraction, distillation method, pressing, and sublimation. Solvent extraction is the most widely used method where the natural products undergo a process where the solvent penetrates through the plant cell wall and the solute dissolves in the solvents the solute followed by collecting the extract. It has been reported that the size of the plant material, properties of the solvent solid to solvent ratio extraction temperature, and extraction time will affect the extraction efficiency [73,74]. The selectivity of the solvents, solubility, cost and safety play a crucial role in solvent extraction. Solvents with the same polarity as the polarity of the solute will result in a greater yield. High temperature affects dispersion and solubility. High temperatures may result in solvents being lost and extracts with impurities and the degradation of thermolabile compounds. The extraction efficiency increases with extraction time. Increasing time will not affect the extraction. The greater the solvent to solid ratio, the greater the extraction yield [75]. Various extraction methods are used to extract the desired bioactive compounds from the plant materials, e.g., solvent extraction, distillation method, pressing, and sublimation. Solvent extraction is the most widely used extraction method when extracting from plant material. In this extraction process, the plant parts are dried in a controlled environment at low temperatures and milled into a powder and weighed. The powder is added to a beaker with solvents and kept at room temperature for thirty minutes. The contents are shaken every twenty-four hours for seven days. The extract is filtered using Whatman filter paper under vacuum and drying at room temperature in a watch glass dish. The weight of the powder is recorded before and after drying [76]. Fresh plant parts are grounded in a blender. The solvent is added and shaken vigorously for 5–10 min or left for 24 h followed by filtration of the extract. The filtrate can be dried under reduced pressure and redissolved in the solvent to determine the concentration, or it can be centrifuged for clarification for further studies [44]. In this extraction method, the solvent of increasing polarity from a non-polar solvent (hexane) to a polar solvent (methanol) is used to ensure a broad polarity range of compounds being extracted and to prepare crude extracts [44]. In this extraction method, solid material is placed in a thimble in the extractor. The solvent is heated until reflux. The vapour rises, and the solvent is condensed and fills up the thimble. The extraction is repeated [77,78]. A whole or coarsely powdered plant is soaked in the solvent in a container for a period under continuous mixing until agitation until the biomass matter is dissolved [44]. In this extraction method, the plant parts are brought to a boil in water followed by cooling, straining, and passing sufficient cold water through the drug to produce the required volume [77]. In this extraction method, the plant parts are macerated with either cold or boiling water [77]. In this extraction method, the plant parts are macerated under gentle heating [77]. In this extraction method, the raw material is placed in an appropriate amount of solvent for approximately 4 h in a closed container. Additional solvent is added to the top of the raw material and macerated in a closed container for 24 h. The percolator is opened, and the extract is poured out drip-wise. Additional solvent is added until the percolate measures about three-quarters of the required volume of the finished product. The marc is pressed, and the pressed liquid is added to the percolate. Additional solvent is added to produce the required volume, and the mixed liquid is clarified by filtration or by decanting [77]. This method uses ultrasound technology to assist in the extraction of the bioactive compounds under frequencies ranging from 20 kHz to 2000 kHz. The ultrasound increases the permeability of cell walls and produces cavitation and ruptures the plant cell wall [77]. In this extraction method, enzymes are used to increase the yields during the extraction. Enzymes are used to soften the tissues of biomass and facilitate the degradation of the cells [79]. This extraction method uses microwave radiation and solvents to extract bioactive compounds. Microwave energy is generated through microwave radiation that heats the solvents whilst increasing the kinetics of the extraction. Moisture occurs in the plant cells when heat is applied and evaporates. The microwave effect generates pressure on the cell wall and results in cell rupture. Exudation occurs and leads to an increase in extraction yield [79]. This is an extraction method using ultrasonic sound waves that pass through the material. The pressure is adjusted, and the supercritical fluids return to their gas phase and evaporate without leaving solvent residues [79]. This extraction method is conducted under high pressures and temperatures that aid in the high solubility of the compounds in the solvent and result in high diffusion of the solvent into the sample array [79]. Table 4 shows the various extraction methods used when extracting biomass. Extraction methods used in biomass extractions [75]. Method Solvent Temperature Pressure Time Volume Consumed The Polarity of Natural Products Maceration Water, Aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Room temperature Atmospheric Long Large Dependent on extracting solvent Percolation Water, Aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Room temperature, occasional heat Atmospheric Long Large Dependent on extracting solvent Decoction Water Under heat Atmospheric Moderate None Polar compounds Reflux extraction Aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Under heat Atmospheric Moderate Moderate Dependent on extracting solvents Soxhlet extraction Organic solvents Under heat Atmospheric Long Moderate Dependent on extracting solvent Pressurised liquid extraction Water, aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Under heat High Short Small Dependent on extracting solvent Supercritical fluid extraction CO2 Near room temperatures High Short None or small Non-polar to moderate compounds Ultrasound-assisted extraction Water, aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Room temperature or under heat Atmospheric Short Moderate Dependent on extracting solvent Microwave-assisted extraction Water, aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Room temperature Atmospheric Short Moderate Dependent on extracting solvent Pulsed electric field extraction Water, aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Room temperature or under heat Atmospheric Short Moderate Dependent on extracting solvent Enzyme assisted extraction Water, aqueous and non-aqueous solvents Room temperature or heated after enzyme treatment Atmospheric Moderate Moderate Dependent on extracting solvent Chromatography is a technique used to separate molecules based on their size, shape, and charge. The analyte in the solvent passes through a molecular sieve which leads to its separation. Paper and thin layer chromatography readily provide qualitative information and through which it becomes possible to obtain quantitative data. In this technique, a sheet of paper is used to carry out separations which acts as both support as well a medium for separation. The sample is placed near the bottom of the filter paper and the filter paper is placed in the chromatographic chamber with solvent. The solvent moves forward by capillary action carrying soluble molecules along with it. Low porosity paper will produce a slow rate of movement of the solvent and thick papers have increased sample capacity [80]. This technique is used to separate the samples based on the interaction between a thin layer of adsorbent attached to the plate with low molecular weight compounds. Different adsorbents are used to separate various compounds [80]. This technique is used to separate volatile compounds. The rate of kinetics for the chemical species is determined through its distribution in the gas phase. Gas chromatography involves a sample being vaporized and injected onto the head of the chromatographic column. The sample is transported through the column by the flow of the inert, gaseous mobile phase. The column itself contains a liquid stationary phase which is adsorbed onto the surface of an inert solid [80]. This technique separates compounds based on their interactions with solid particles of a tightly packed column and the solvent of the mobile phase. The Diode Array Detector measures the absorption spectra of the analytes to aid in their identification of the compounds [80]. The study of bioactive compounds encompasses phytochemical and pharmacological approaches [81] Many plant parts contain bioactive components, e.g., bark, leaves, stems, fruits, and seeds [82]. Phytochemicals are chemicals produced by the various parts of the plants namely, alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, steroids, tannins, glycosides, etc. The bioactive compounds have various antimicrobial and antibacterial properties [83]. Qualitative phytochemical screening plays a crucial role in identifying various bioactive compounds produced by plants. The quantification of those metabolites may assist in the extraction, purification, and identification of the bioactive compounds for human use [83]. The preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening is carried out as per standard methods described by Trease & Evans 1989. The extracts are dissolved in dilute hydrochloric acid and filtered individually and tested for the presence of alkaloids. Mayers test: The extraction added to the Mayers reagent. A yellow cream precipitate formation indicates the presence of alkaloids. Wagner's test: Wagner's reagent is added to the extraction if a brown-reddish brown formation is observed, and it indicates the presence of alkaloids. Detection of Flavonoids Lead acetate test: A few drops of lead acetate solution is added to the extracts. A yellow-colour precipitate indicates the presence of flavonoids. Sulfuric acid test: A few drops of sulfuric acid are added to the extracts, and the formation of orange colour indicates the presence of flavonoids. A few drops of acetic anhydride are added to the extracts and the formation of violet to blue to green in some samples indicates the presence of steroids. Salkowski's Test: Extract of 5 mg of the selected plant part is mixed with 2 mL chloroform and 3 mL concentrated sulfuric acid added carefully to form a layer. A reddish-brown colour indicates the presence of terpenoids. Bontrager's Test: About 5 mg of the extract is boiled with 10% HCl for a few minutes in a water bath. It's filtered and allowed to cool. An equal volume of CHCl3 is added to the filtrate. A few drops of 10% NH3 are added to the mixture and heated. The formation of pink colour indicates the presence of anthraquinones. Ferric chloride test: A few drops of ferric chloride are added to the 10 mL extract. A bluish-black colour indicates the presence of phenol. Lead acetate test: A few drops of lead acetate solution is mixed with 10 mg extract. A yellow colour indicates the presence of phenol. A 0.5 mg of the extract is mixed vigorously with 5 mL of distilled water. The formation of frothing indicates the presence of saponins. A few millilitres of the extract are mixed with a few milites of water and heated in a water bath. The mixture is filtered. Ferric chloride is added to the filtrate. The dark green colour indicates the presence of tannins. A 0.5 mg of the extract is dissolved individually in five mL of distilled water and filtered. The filtrate is used to test the presence of carbohydrates [84]. One gram of extract sample is added to a 250 mL beaker, and 200 mL of 10% acetic acid in ethanol is added, covered, and left for setting for 4 h. The extract is filtered and concentrated in a water bath to one-quarter of the original volume. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide is added dropwise to the extract until the precipitation is complete. The solution is allowed to settle, and the precipitate is collected and washed with dilute ammonium hydroxide, followed by filtration. The residue is dried and weighed [85]. A gram of sample is extracted repeatedly with 100 mL of 80% aqueous methanol. The mixture is filtered through Whatman no.1 filter paper into a pre-weighed 250 mL beaker. The filtrate is transferred to a water bath and allowed for evaporation to dryness and followed by weighing off the sample [83]. The sample is placed in a beaker and boiled for 15 min with 50 mL of ether for the extraction of phenolic compounds. five mL of the extract is pipetted out into a 50 mL flask followed by the addition of 10 mL of distilled water; 2 mL of ammonium hydroxide solution, and 5 mL of concentrated amyl alcohol. The samples are left to react for 30 min for colour development and read at 505 nm [83]. The biocidal analysis evaluates the effectiveness of antimicrobial textiles. Several test methods have been established through quantitative antimicrobial tests. The number of microbes present on the finished fabrics can be counted and expressed as a percentage or as a log reduction. The test methods for quantitative determination are ATCC TM100, JIS L1902, AATCC90 percentage reduction, and ISO 20743 shake flask reduction methods [86]. The Parallel Streak Method (AATCC TM147) is a qualitative method used to determine the antibacterial activity of diffusible antimicrobials agents on treated textile materials. The Parallel Streak Method has proven to be effective. This method shows antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The sterilised agar is dispensed (cooled to 47 °C (117 °F)) by pouring 15 mL into each standard (15 × 100 mm) flat bottomed petri dish. Allow agar to gel firmly before inoculating. The inoculum is prepared by transferring 1.0 mL of a 24-h broth distilled water containing it to a test tube or small flask. A 4 mm inoculating loop is used, loaded with inoculum of the diluted inoculum and transferred to the surface of the sterile agar plate, making five streaks. The inoculum is spread approximately 60 mm in length, spaced 10 mm apart by covering the central area of a standard petri-dish without refilling the loop. The specimen is pressed onto the agar surface with a sterile spatula. After 18 to 24 h of incubation at 37 °C, the plates are examined for bacterial growth directly underneath the textiles and around the edges of the textiles. If the antimicrobial substance diffuses into the agar, an inhibition area is formed, and its size indicates the effectiveness of the antimicrobial effect or the rate at which the active agent is released [21,24]. AATCC 100 (Suspension Test) is a quantitative antimicrobial test method used to determine the antibacterial activity of the textiles and fabrics against bacteria. The bacterial counts are recorded, and a percent reduction is measured using initial count and remaining count data [24]. Durability by washing method (ASTM E3162-18 or AATCC61-2A) is used to determine the durability of laundering. This test method is an accelerated laundering test method to measure the durability of antibacterial agents applied to textiles under simulated home laundering conditions. Ten grams of the coated fabric for laundering is prepared, followed by adding a 500 mL defined detergent solution. Set the washing machine at a temperature of 50 °C under abrasive action using stainless steel balls to simulate five home launderings for a 45-min laundering cycle at 40 revolutions per minute. After each cycle, remove the fabric and rinse with water thoroughly by hand. Repeat, depending on the total number of washes required. Plants are a unique source of bioactive compounds with biological activities and medicinal properties. The choice of solvents plays an important role in the extraction of bioactive chemicals. Antimicrobial agents and textile finishes have gained traction over the years. Synthetic antimicrobial agents show great effectiveness against pathogens but cause harm to the environment and human health. More research on plant-based antimicrobial agents and finishing should be done to extend the longevity of the antimicrobial power and durability to laundering on textiles substrates. The rise of "super germs" has become a global health problem due to antibiotic resistance. More research needs to be done on medicinal plants as a source of alternative medicines using unexplored medicinal plants for making five streaks. The results are generally regarded as safe. There should be more in-depth studies done on the most economical pre-treatment, drying, and extraction methods for future therapeutics. The authors wish to acknowledge the support from the team members and the Cape Peninsula University of Technology University Research Fund cost centre R971. ISO International Standards Organization AATCC American Association of Textile Chemists and Colourists JIS Japanese Industrial Standards PC Paper Chromatography TLC Thin Layer Chromatography GC Gas Chromatography HPLC High-performance liquid chromatography QAC Quaternary Ammonium Compounds mRNA messenger Ribonucleic acid HAI Health Associated Infections PPL Priority Pathogen List WHO World Health Organization RNA Ribonucleic acid DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid UTT Urinary Tract Infection ESKAPE Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter aerogenes Writing—original draft preparation, E.N.; Supervision, M.B. Funding acquisition M.B.; Writing review and editing, M.B., E.N., D.M. and P.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. The authors declare no conflict of interest. This research received no external funding. 1.O'Neill J. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations. Wellcome Trust; London, UK; 2016. The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance Chaired by Jim O'Neill. [Google Scholar] 2.De Kraker M.E.A., Stewardson A.J., Harbarth S., de Kraker M., Stewardson A.J., Harbarth S. Will 10 Million People Die a Year Due to Antimicrobial Resistance by 2050? PLoS Med. 2016;13:e1002184. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002184. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 3.Bibi R., Tariq A., Mussarat S., Niaz Khan S., Rahman H., Fathi E., Allah A., Ullah R., Adnan M. Ethnomedicinal, Phytochemical and Antibacterial Activities of Medicinal Flora of Pakistan Used against Pseudomonas Aeruginosa-A Review. Pak. J. Pharm. Sci. 2017;30:2285–2300. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 4.Beydoun S., Chalak L., Dalleh H., Amor N. Ethnopharmacological Survey of Medicinal Plants Used in Traditional Medicine by the Communities of Mount Hermon, Lebanon. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2015;173 doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2015.06.052. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 5.Singh A., Nautiyal M.C., Kunwar R.M., Bussmann R.W. Ethnomedicinal Plants Used by Local Inhabitants of Jakhol Block, Rudrapurag District, Western Himalaya, India. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomedicine. 2017;13 doi: 10.1186/s13002-017-0178-3. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 15.Oguntibeju O.O., Aboua Y., Goboza M., Windoline—A Natural Product from Catharanthus Roseus Reduces Hyperlipidemia and Renal Pathophysiology in Experimental Type 2 Diabetes. Biomedicine. 2019;7:59. doi: 10.3390/biomedicine7.030059. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 16.Mordeniz C. Traditional and Complementary Medicine. IntechOpen; London, UK; 2019. Introductory Chapter: Traditional and Complementary Medicine. [Google Scholar] 17.Rout A., Jenna P.K., Patrida U.K., Bindhani B.K. Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Leaves Extract of Centella Asiatica L. for Studies against Human Pathogens. J. Pharm Bio Sci. 2013;4:661–674. [Google Scholar] 18.Joubert E., Joubert M.E., Bester C., de Beer D., de Lange J.H. Honeybush (Cyclopia spp.): From Local Cottage Industry to Global Markets—The Catalytic and Supporting Role of Research. South Afr. J. Bot. 2017;77:887–907. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2017.10.014. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 19.Owira P.M.O., Ojewole J.A.O. 'African Potato' (Hypoxis hemerocallidea Corn): A Plant-Medicine for Modern and 21st Century Diseases of Mankind?—A Review. Phytother. Res. 2009;23:147–152. doi: 10.1002/ptr.2595. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 20.Elbagory A.M., Meyer M., Cupido C.N., Hussein A.I. Inhibition of Bacteria Associated with Wound Infection by Biocompatible Green Synthesized Gold Nanoparticles from South African Plant Extracts. Nanomaterials. 2017;7:417. doi: 10.3390/nano7120417. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 21.Neely A.N., Maley M.P. Survival of Enterococci and Staphylococci on Hospital Fabrics and Plastic Go to: Survival of Enterococci and Staphylococci on Hospital Fabrics and Plastic. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2000;38:724–726. doi: 10.1128/JCM.38.2.724-726.2000. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 22.Gupta D., Bhaumik S. Antimicrobial Treatments for Textiles. Indian J. Fibre Text. Res. 2007;32:254–263. [Google Scholar] 23.Chauhan P., Kumar A. Development of a Microbial Coating for Cellulosic Surface Using Aloe Vera and Silane. Carbohydr. Polym. Technol. Appl. 2020;1:100015. doi: 10.1016/j.carpta.2020.100015. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 24.Gao Y., Cranston R., Yuan G., Cranston R. Recent Advances in Antimicrobial Treatments of Textiles. Text. Res. J. 2008;78:60–72. doi: 10.1177/0004051750708232. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 25.Tille P., Bailey's and Scotts Diagnostic Microbiology. Elsevier; St. Louis, MO, USA; 2014. Section 3: Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity. Chapter 11: Principles of Antimicrobial Action and Resistance; pp. 153–186. [Google Scholar] 26.Gulati R., Sharma S., Sharma R.K. Antimicrobial Textile: Recent Developments and Functional Perspective. Polym. Bull. 2021 doi: 10.1007/s00289-021-03826-3. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 27.Vastrad J., Goudar G., Byadgi S. Characterisation of Phenolic Compounds Eucalyptus Globulus and Cymbopogon Citratus Leaf Extracts. BioScan. 2016;11:2153–2156. [Google Scholar] 28.Safarzaheh Markhali F. Roles of Drying, Size Reduction, and Blanching in Sustainable Extraction of Phenolics from Olive Leaves. Processes. 2021;9:1662. doi: 10.3390/pr901662. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 29.Pham H., Nguyen V., Vuong Q., Bowyer M., Searlett C. Effect of Extraction Solvents and Drying Methods on the Physicochemical and Antioxidant Properties of Helicteres Hirsuta Lour. Leaves. Technologies. 2015;3:285–301. doi: 10.3390/technologies3040285. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 30.Saifullah M., McCullum R., McCluskey A., Vuong Q. Effects of Different Drying Methods on Extractable Phenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Properties from Lemon Myrtle Dried Leaves. Heliyon. 2019;5:e03044. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e03044. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 31.Shrestha A.K., Ua-arak T., Adhikari B.P., Howes T., Bhandari B.R. Glass Transition Behavior of Spray Dried Orange Juice Powder Measured by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) and Thermal Mechanical Compression Test (TMCT) Int. J. Food Prop. 2007;10:661–673. doi: 10.1080/10942910601109218. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 32.Nóbrega E.M., Oliveira E.M., Genoveze M.L., Correia R.T.P. The Impact of Hot Air Drying on the Physical-Chemical Characteristics, Bioactive Compounds and Antioxidant Activity of Acerola (Alphalea emarginata) Residue. J. Food Processing Preserv. 2015;39:131–141. doi: 10.1111/jfp.12213. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 33.Nadi F. Bioactive Compound Retention in Echium Amoenum Fisch. & C. A. Mey. Petals Effect of Fluidized Bed Drying Conditions. Int. J. Food Prop. 2017;20:2249–2260. doi: 10.1080/10942912.016.1233436. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 34.Nguyen V.T., van Vuong Q., Bowyer M.C., van Altena I.A., Scarlett C.J. Effects of Different Drying Methods on Bioactive Compound Yield and Antioxidant Capacity of Phyllanthus amarus. Dry. Technol. 2015;33:1006–1017. doi: 10.1080/07373937.2015.1013197. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 35.Ahmad-Qasem M.H., Barrajon-Catalán E., Micol V., Mulet A., Garcia-Pérez J.V. Influence of Freezing and Dehydration of Olive Leaves (Fav. Serrana) on Extract Composition and Antioxidant Potential. Food Res. Int. 2013;50:189–196. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2012.10.028. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 36.Babu A.K., Kumaresan G., Raj V.A.A., Velraj R. Review of Leaf Drying: Mechanism and Influencing Parameters, Drying Methods, Nutrient Preservation, and Mathematical Models. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2018;90:536–556. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.002. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 37.Afaneh I., Yateem H., Al-Rimawi F. Effect of Olive Leaves Drying on the Content of Oleuropein. Am. J. Anal. Chem. 2015;6:246–252. doi: 10.4236/ajac.2015.63023. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 38.Orphanides A., Goulas V., Gekas V. Effect of Drying Method on the Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Capacity of Spearmint. Czech J. Food Sci. 2013;31:509–513. doi: 10.17221/526/2012-CJFS. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 39.Harmakansariat N., Kawai K., Watanabe M., Suzuki T. Effects of Freezing on Microstructure and Rehydration Properties of Freeze-Dried Soybean Curd. J. Food Eng. 2016;184:10–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.03.014. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 40.Ghelleckhani G., Modaresi M.H., Rashidi L., Shariatfar N., Homapour M., Arabameri M. Effect of the Spray and Freeze Dryers on the Bioactive Compounds of Olive Leaf Aqueous Extract by Chromometrics of HCA and PCA. J. Food Meas. Charact. 2019;13:2751–2763. doi: 10.1007/s11694-019-00196-3. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 41.Sasidharan S., Chen Y., Saravanan D., Sundaram K.M., Latha L.H. Extraction, Isolation and Characterization of Bioactive Compounds from Plants Extracts. Afr. J. Tradit. Complementary Altern. Med. 2011;8:1–10. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 42.Cowan M. Plant Products as Antimicrobial Agents. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1999;12:564–582. doi: 10.1128/CMR.12.4.564. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 43.Tiwari P., Kumar B., Kaur M., Kaur G., Kaur H. Phytochemical Screening and Extraction: A Review. Int. Sci. Pharm. 2011;1:99–106. [Google Scholar] 44.Velavan S. Phytochemicals Techniques—A Review. World J. Sci. Res. 2015;1:80–91. [Google Scholar] 45.Das K., Shrivastava D., Tiwari R. Techniques for Evaluation of Medicinal Plant Products as Antimicrobial Agents: Current Methods and Future Trends. J. Med. Plants Res. 2010;4:104–111. doi: 10.5897/MPR09.030. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 46.Kumar D. Herbal Bio-Actives and Food Fortification: Extraction and Formulation. Volume 79. Taylor and Francis; Abingdon, UK; 2015. [Google Scholar] 47.FAO/WHO Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. Summary and Conclusions. FAO; Rome, Italy; WHO; Geneva, Switzerland; 2018. [Google Scholar] 48.Bhatia P., Sharma A., George A.J., Anvitha D., Kumar P., Diwedi V.P., Chandra N.S. Antibacterial Activity of Medicinal Plants against ESKAPE: An Update. Heliyon. 2021;7:e06310. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06310. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 49.World Health Organisation . Global Priority List of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria to Guide Research. World Health Organisation; Geneva, Switzerland; 2017. [Google Scholar] 50.Ghareeb M.A., Refahy L.A., Saad A.M., Osman N.S., Abdel-Aziz M.S., El-Shazly M.A., Mohamed A.S. In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of Five Egyptian Plant Species. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 2015;5:45–49. doi: 10.7324/JAPS.2015.58.57. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 51.Ugwoke E., Aisida S.O., Mirbahar A.A., Arshad M., Ahmad I., Zhao T., Ezema F.I. Concentration Induced Properties of Silver Nanoparticles and Their Antibacterial Activity. Surf. Interfaces. 2020;18:100419. doi: 10.1016/j.surfint.2019.100419. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 52.Cragg G.M., Newman D.J. Natural Products: A Continuing Source of Novel Drug Leads. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Gen. Subj. 2013;1830:3670–3695. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.02.008. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 53.Madubunu N., Aisida S.O., Ali A., Ahmad I., Zhao T., Botha S., Maaza M., Ezema F.I. Biosynthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles via a Composite of Psidium guajava-Moringa oleifera and Their Antibacterial and Photocatalytic Study. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 2019;199:111601. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2019.111601. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 54.Aisida S.O., Batool A., Khan F.M., Rahman L., Mahmood A., Ahmad I., Zhao T., Maaza M., Ezema F.I. Calcination Induced PEG-Ni-ZnO Nanorod Composite and its Biomedical Applications. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2020;255:123603. doi: 10.1016/j.matchemphys.2020.123603. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 55.Kandemir F.M., Yildirim S., Kucukler S., Caglayan C., Darendelioğlu E., Dörtbudak M.B. Protective Effects of Morin against Acrylamide-Induced Hepatotoxicity and Nephrotoxicity: A Multi-Biomarker Approach. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2020;138:111190. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2020.111190. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 56.Ginting B., Maulana I., Karnila I. Biosynthesis Copper Nanoparticles Using Blumea Balsamifera Leaf Extracts: Characterization of its Antioxidant and Cytotoxicity Activities. Surf. Interfaces. 2020;21:100799. doi: 10.1016/j.surfint.2020.100799. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 57.Aisida S.O., Ugwu K., Akpa P.A., Nwanya A.C., Ejikeme P.M., Botha S., Ahmad I., Maaza M., Ezema F.I. Biogenic Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity of Controlled Silver Nanoparticles Using an Extract of Gongronema Latifolium. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2019;237:121859. doi: 10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.121859. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 58.Leberton F., van Schaik W., Manson McGuire A., Godfrey P., Griggs A., Mazumdar V., Corander J., Cheng L., Saif S., Young S., et al. Emergence of Epidemic Multidrug-Resistant Enterococcus Faecium from Animal and Commensal Strains. mBio. 2013;4:e00534-13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00534-13. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 59.Pendleton J.N., Gorman S.P., Gilmore B.F. Clinical Relevance of the ESKAPE Pathogens. Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther. 2013;11:297–308. doi: 10.1586/eri.13.12. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 60.Marques C., Belas A., Franco A., Franco A., Abomir C., Gama L.T., Pomba C. Increase in Antimicrobial Resistance and Emergence of Major International High-Risk Clonal Lineages in Dogs and Cats with Urinary Tract Infection: 16 Year Retrospective Study. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2018;73:377–384. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx401. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 61.Fiore E., van Tyne D., Gilmore M.S. Pathogenicity of Enterococci. Microbiol. Spectr. 2019;7:4. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0053-2018. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 62.Ubeda C., Taur V., Jeng R.R., Equinda M.J., Son T., Samstein M., Viale A., Soti N.D., van den Brink M.R.M., Kamboj M., et al. Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus Domination of Intestinal Microbiota Is Enabled by Antibiotic Treatment in Mice and Precedes Bloodstream Invasion in Humans. J. Clin. Invest. 2010;120:4332–4341. doi: 10.1172/JCI43918. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 63.Prasad P., Sun J., Danner R.L., Natanson C. Excess Deaths Associated With Tigecycline After Approval Based on Noninferiority Trials. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2012;54:1699–1709. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir270. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 64.DeLeo F.R., Otto M., Kreiswirth B.N., Chambers H.F. Community-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Lancet. 2010;375:1557–1568. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61999-1. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 65.Navon-Venezia S., Kondratyeva K., Carattoli A. Klebsiella pneumoniae: A Major Worldwide Source and Shuttle for Antibiotic Resistance. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2017;41 doi: 10.1093/femsre/fux013. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 66.Paterson D.L., Bonomo R.A. Extended-Spectrum  $\beta$ -Lactamases: A Clinical Update. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2005;18:1557–1568. doi: 10.1128/CMR.18.4.657-686.2005. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 67.Munoz-Price L.S., Weinstein R.A. Acinetobacter Infection. New Engl. J. Med. 2008;358:1271–1281. doi: 10.1056/NEJMa070741. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 68.Magill S.S., Edwards J.R., Bamberg W., Beldavs Z.G., Dumyati G., Kainer M.A., Lynfield R., Maloney M., McAllister-Hollid L., Nadle J., et al. Multistate Point-Prevalence Survey of Health Care-Associated Infections. New Engl. J. Med. 2014;370:1198–1208. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoA1306801. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 69.Yayan J., Ghebremedhin B., Rasche K. Antibiotic Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Pneumonia at a Single University Hospital Center in Germany over a 10-Year Period. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:e0139936. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0139936. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 70.Malek A., McGlynn K., Taffner S., Fine L., Tesini B., Wang J., Mostafa H., Petry S., Perkins A., Graman P., et al. Next-Generation-Sequencing-Based Hospital Outbreak Investigation Yields Insight into Klebsiella Aerogenes Population Structure and Determinants of Carbapenem Resistance and Pathogenicity. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2019;63:e02577-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02577-18. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 71.Reza A., Sutton J.M., Rahman K.M. Effectiveness of Efflux Pump Inhibitors as Biofilm Disruptors and Resistance Breakers in Gram-Negative (ESKAPE) Bacteria. Antibiotics. 2019;8:229. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics8040229. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 72.Morais D., Guedes R., Lopes M. Antimicrobial Approaches for Textiles: From Research to Market. Materials. 2016;9:498. doi: 10.3390/ma9060498. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 73.Li P., Xu G., Li S.-P., Wang Y.-T., Fan T.-P., Zhao Q.-S., Zhang Q.-W. Optimizing Ultrapformance Liquid Chromatographic Analysis of 10 Diterpenoid Compounds in Salvia Miltiorrhiza Using Central Composite Design. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2008;56:1164–1171. doi: 10.1021/jf073020u. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 74.Mordeniz C. Traditional and Complementary Medicine. IntechOpen; London, UK; 2019. Integration of Traditional and Complementary Medicine into Evidence-Based Clinical Practice. [Google Scholar] 75.Zhang Q.-W., Lin L.-G., Ye W.-C. Techniques for Extraction and Isolation of Natural Products: A Comprehensive Review. Chin. Med. 2018;13:20. doi: 10.1186/s13020-018-0177-x. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 76.Harborne J.B. Phytochemical Methods—A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis. 3rd ed. Chapman and Hall; London, UK; 1998. [Google Scholar] 77.Handa S., Khanuja S., Longo G., Rakesh D. Extraction Technologies for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. International Centre for Science and High Technology; Trieste, Italy; 2008. pp. 21–25. [Google Scholar] 78.Patel K., Panchal N., Ingle P. Review of Extraction Techniques Extraction Methods: Microwave, Ultrasonic, Pressurized Fluid, Soxhlet Extraction, Etc. Int. J. Adv. Res. Chem. Sci. 2019;6:6–21. doi: 10.20431/2349-0403.0603002. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 79.Lu M., Ho C.T., Huang Q. Extraction, Bioavailability, and Bioefficacy of Capsaicinoids. J. Food Drug Anal. 2017;25:27–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jfda.2016.10.023. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 80.Coskun O. Separation Techniques: Chromatography. North. Clin. Istanb. 2016;3:1–5. doi: 10.14744/nci.2016.32757. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 81.Foye W.O., Lemke T.L., Williams D.A. Foye's Principals of Medicinal Chemistry. 6th ed. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; Philadelphia, PA, USA; 2008. [Google Scholar] 82.Cragg G.M., Newman D.J. Natural Product Drug Discovery in the Next Millennium. Pharm. Biol. 2001;39 doi: 10.1076/pbhi.39.s1.8.0009. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 83.Santhi K., Sengottuvel R. Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of Moringa concanensis Nimmo. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2016;5:633–640. doi: 10.20546/jcmas.2016.501.064. [DOI] [Google Scholar] 84.Trease G.E., Evans W.C. Pharmacognosy. Bailliere Tindall Limited; London, UK; 1989. Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy; pp. 376–480. [Google Scholar] 85.Harborne J.B. Phytochemical Methods: A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis. 2nd ed. Springer; Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; 1984. [Google Scholar] 86.Behary N., Smet D.D., Campagne C., Vanneest M. Antibacterial and Multifunctional Polyester Textile Using Plant-Based Cinnamaldehyde. J. Text. Sci. Technol. 2020;4:1–11. [Google Scholar] Articles from Plants are provided here courtesy of Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)